

Notes de Cours de Machine

learning M1 MINT

Slide 20 régression logistique et softmax.

Logistique $\mathbb{P}(Y=1 | X=x) = \sigma(\langle x, w \rangle + b)$

Softmax $\mathbb{P}(Y=k | X=x) = \frac{\exp(\langle x, w_k \rangle + b_k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{P}(Y=k | X=x) = 1} \sum_{k=1}^K \exp(\langle x, w_k \rangle + b_k)$

Cas où $K=2$ classification binaire.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(Y=1 | X=x) &= \frac{\exp(\langle x, w_1 \rangle + b_1)}{\exp(\langle x, w_{-1} \rangle + b_{-1}) + \exp(\langle x, w_1 \rangle + b_1)} \\ &= \frac{\exp(\langle x, w_1 \rangle + b_1 - \langle x, w_{-1} \rangle - b_{-1})}{1 + \exp(\langle x, w_1 \rangle + b_1 - \langle x, w_{-1} \rangle - b_{-1})} \\ &= \frac{\exp(\langle x, w_1 - w_{-1} \rangle + b_1 - b_{-1})}{1 + \exp(\langle x, w_1 - w_{-1} \rangle + b_1 - b_{-1})} \end{aligned}$$

si on pose $w = w_1 - w_{-1}$ et $b = b_1 - b_{-1}$

$$= \frac{\exp(\langle x, w \rangle + b)}{1 + \exp(\langle x, w \rangle + b)} = \sigma(\langle x, w \rangle + b)$$

Conclusion: la softmax généralise la sigmoïde.

Slide 22

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(Y=1 | X=x)}{\mathbb{P}(Y=-1 | X=x)} = \text{odds}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(Y=1) &= \frac{1}{4} \\ \mathbb{P}(Y=-1) &= \frac{3}{4} \\ \text{odds} &= \frac{1/4}{3/4} = \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$\mathbb{P}(Y=1) = 1 \quad \mathbb{P}(Y=-1) = 0$$

$$\text{odds} = +\infty$$

$$\mathbb{P}(Y=1) = 1/2$$

$$\mathbb{P}(Y=-1) = 1/2$$

$$\mathbb{P}(Y=1) = 0 \quad \mathbb{P}(Y=-1) = 1$$

$$\text{odds} = 0$$

$$\text{odds} = 1$$

Dans le cas de la régression logistique

$$\mathbb{P}(Y=1 | X=x) = \sigma(\langle x, w \rangle + b) \quad \sigma: x \mapsto \frac{e^x}{1+e^x}$$

$$\text{Odds} = \frac{\sigma(\langle x, w \rangle + b)}{1 - \sigma(\langle x, w \rangle + b)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$$

$$= \frac{e^{\langle x, w \rangle + b}}{1 + e^{\langle x, w \rangle + b}}$$

$$= \frac{1 - \frac{e^{\langle x, w \rangle + b}}{1 + e^{\langle x, w \rangle + b}}}{\frac{e^{\langle x, w \rangle + b}}{1 + e^{\langle x, w \rangle + b}}}$$

$$= \frac{1 + e^{\langle x, w \rangle + b} - e^{\langle x, w \rangle + b}}{1 + e^{\langle x, w \rangle + b}}$$

$$= e^{\langle x, w \rangle + b}$$

i_1 et i_2 dont les features sont égales
sauf la j

$$x_{i_1}^k = x_{i_2}^k + 1$$

$$x_{i_1}^k = x_{i_2}^k$$

$$\forall k \in \{1, d\} \setminus \{j\}$$

$$\frac{\text{odds}(x_1)}{\text{odds}(x_2)} = \frac{\exp(\langle x_{i1}, w \rangle + b)}{\exp(\langle x_{i2}, w \rangle + b)}$$

$$= \exp(\langle x_{i1}, w \rangle + b - \langle x_{i2}, w \rangle - b)$$

$$= \exp(\langle x_{i1} - x_{i2}, w \rangle) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^d (x_{i1}^k - x_{i2}^k) w_k\right)$$

$$= \exp((x_{i1}^j - x_{i2}^j) w_j) = \exp(w_j).$$

Slide 24

on choisit $\hat{Y}_+ = 1$

si $\mathbb{P}(Y=1 | X=x) \geq \mathbb{P}(Y=-1 | X=x)$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{e^{\langle x, w \rangle + b}}{1 + e^{\langle x, w \rangle + b}} \geq \frac{1}{1 + e^{\langle x, w \rangle + b}}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow e^{\langle x, w \rangle + b} \geq 1$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \langle x, w \rangle + b \geq 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \langle x, w \rangle \geq -b \rightarrow \text{règle de classification linéaire}$$

l'espace des features est partagé par un hyperplan d'équation $\langle x, w \rangle + b = 0$.

Slide 27 et suivantes

on veut calculer $-\frac{1}{n} \log L$ vraisemblance.

$$\text{Vraisemblance} = \mathcal{L}(y_1, \dots, y_n, x_1, \dots, x_n; w, b) = \mathcal{L}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(Y=y_i / X=x_i)$$

$$\mathbb{P}(Y=1 / X=x_i) = \sigma(\langle x_i, w \rangle + b) = \frac{e^{\langle x_i, w \rangle + b}}{1 + e^{\langle x_i, w \rangle + b}}$$

$$\mathbb{P}(Y=-1 / X=x_i) = 1 - \sigma(\langle x_i, w \rangle + b) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{\langle x_i, w \rangle + b}}$$

Produit $\phi(\langle x_i, w \rangle + b)$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{e^{\langle x_i, w \rangle + b}}{1 + e^{\langle x_i, w \rangle + b}} \right)^{\mathbb{1}(y_i=1)} \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{\langle x_i, w \rangle + b}} \right)^{\mathbb{1}(y_i=-1)}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{e^{\langle x_i, w \rangle + b} + 1} \right)^{\mathbb{1}(y_i=1)} \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{\langle x_i, w \rangle + b}} \right)^{\mathbb{1}(y_i=-1)}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + e^{-y_i (\langle x_i, w \rangle + b)}}$$

$$\log \mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{1}{1 + e^{-y_i (\langle x_i, w \rangle + b)}}$$

$$= - \sum_{i=1}^n \log (1 + e^{-y_i (\langle x_i, w \rangle + b)})$$

$$\text{Empirical loss} = -\frac{1}{n} \log \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log (1 + e^{-y_i (\langle x_i, w \rangle + b)})$$

Définir les "bons" $\hat{\omega}$ et \hat{b} au max. de vraisemblance comme.

$$(\hat{\omega}, \hat{b}) \in \underset{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d, b \in \mathbb{R}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + e^{-y_i \langle x_i, \omega \rangle + b})$$

problème d'optimisation ^{strict} convexe et différentiable.

Slide 44 et suivantes.

$$\nabla f(\omega) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n l'(y_i, \langle x_i, \omega \rangle) x_i$$

$$\nabla^2 f(\omega) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n l''(y_i, \langle x_i, \omega \rangle) x_i x_i^T$$

f est convexe $\Leftrightarrow l$ l'est partout $y' \mapsto l(y, y')$

L -régulière

$$\|\nabla f(\omega) - \nabla f(\omega')\|_2 \leq L \|\omega - \omega'\|_2$$

si f est 2 fois diff.

$$L\text{-régulière} \Leftrightarrow \lambda_{\max}(\nabla^2 f(\omega)) \leq L$$

heuristique que $l(y_i, \langle x_i, \omega \rangle) = \log(1 + e^{-y_i \langle x_i, \omega \rangle})$

$$l'(y_i, \langle x_i, \omega \rangle) = \frac{-y_i x_i e^{-\langle x_i, \omega \rangle}}{1 + e^{-y_i \langle x_i, \omega \rangle}}$$

$$= y_i (\sigma(y_i \langle x_i, \omega \rangle) - 1) x_i$$

$$d''(y_i, \langle x_i, w \rangle) = y_i (\sigma'(\langle x_i, w \rangle)) x_i$$

$$\sigma(x) = \frac{e^x}{1+e^x}$$

$$\sigma'(x) = \frac{e^x(1+e^x) - e^x e^x}{(1+e^x)^2}$$

$$= \frac{e^x + e^{2x} - e^{2x}}{(1+e^x)^2}$$

$$= \frac{e^x}{1+e^x} \cdot \frac{1}{1+e^x}$$

$$= \sigma(x) (1 - \sigma(x))$$

$$= \underbrace{y_i^2}_{\frac{1}{1}} \underbrace{\sigma(\langle x_i, w \rangle) (1 - \sigma(\langle x_i, w \rangle))}_{\frac{1}{1}} x_i x_i^T$$

$$\nabla^2 f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n d''(y_i, \langle x_i, w \rangle)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\sigma(\langle x_i, w \rangle) (1 - \sigma(\langle x_i, w \rangle))}_{\sigma(\langle x_i, w \rangle) = \mathbb{P}(Y=y_i | X=x_i)} x_i x_i^T$$

$$\sigma(\langle x_i, w \rangle) = \mathbb{P}(Y=y_i | X=x_i)$$

$x \mapsto \sigma(x) (1 - \sigma(x))$ son maximum vaut $\frac{1}{4}$

$$\Delta_{\max}(\nabla^2 f(w)) \leq \frac{1}{4n} \Delta_{\max}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i^T\right)$$

↳ logistic.

Si f est L -régulière.

$$f(w) \leq f(w') + \langle \nabla f(w'), w - w' \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|w - w'\|_2^2.$$

À l'itération:

$$f(w) \leq f(w^k) + \langle \nabla f(w^k), w - w^k \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|w - w^k\|_2^2.$$

descente de gradient $w^{k+1} = \operatorname{argmin} (\dots)$

On veut minimiser $f(w) + g(w)$

$$f(w) + g(w) \leq f(w^k) + \langle \nabla f(w^k), w - w^k \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|w - w^k\|_2^2 + g(w)$$

$$w^{k+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_w \left(\cancel{f(w^k)} + \langle \nabla f(w^k), w - w^k \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|w - w^k\|_2^2 + g(w) \right)$$

$$\frac{L}{2} \|w - (w^k - \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(w^k))\|_2^2$$

$$= \frac{L}{2} \|w - w^k\|_2^2 + \cancel{2} \frac{L}{2} \langle w - w^k, \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(w^k) \rangle$$

$$+ \frac{L}{2} \frac{1}{L^2} \|\nabla f(w^k)\|_2^2$$

$$w^{k+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_w \left(\frac{L}{2} \|w - (w^k - \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(w^k))\|_2^2 + g(w) \right)$$

$$= \operatorname{argmin}_w \left(\frac{1}{2} \|w - (w^k - \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(w^k))\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{L} g(w) \right)$$

Si $g = 0$,
on retombe sur la
descente de gradient

$g: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ convexe (pas forcément diff.)
 on définit son opérateur proximal.

$$\text{prox}_g(w) = \underset{w' \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\text{argmin}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|w - w'\|_2^2 + g(w') \right\}$$

Slide S1: prox du LASSO.

$$z \in \mathbb{R}, z' \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\underset{z'}{\text{argmin}} \underbrace{\left\{ \frac{1}{2} (z' - z)^2 + \lambda |z'| \right\}}$$

sur \mathbb{R}_+ $z' - z + \lambda$. le minimum est atteint
 sur \mathbb{R}_- $z' - z - \lambda$. pour $z' = z - \lambda$.

le minimum est atteint } ce minimum
 $z' = z + \lambda$ est ≥ 0
 ce minimum est ≤ 0 seulement si $z \geq \lambda$.
 seulement si $z \leq -\lambda$.

Sur $[-\lambda, \lambda]$ le minimum est atteint en 0.

$$z^* = \underset{z'}{\text{argmin}} \frac{1}{2} (z' - z)^2 + \lambda |z'| = \begin{cases} z - \lambda & \text{si } z \geq \lambda \\ z + \lambda & \text{si } z \leq -\lambda \\ 0 & \text{si } z \in [-\lambda, \lambda] \end{cases}$$

$$z^*(z) = \text{sign}(z) (|z| - \lambda)_+$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{si } z \geq \lambda, \quad z^*(z) &= 1 \cdot (z - \lambda)_+ = z - \lambda \\ \text{si } z \leq -\lambda, \quad z^*(z) &= (-1) \cdot (-z - \lambda)_+ = z + \lambda \\ \text{si } z \in [-\lambda, \lambda] \quad z^*(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{|z|}_{\leq \lambda} - \lambda \right)}_{\leq 0}_+ = 0. \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Exercice 1 de la slide 55

A une itération k w^k, b^k

$$f(w, b) + g(w) \leq f(w^k, b^k) + \left\langle \nabla_{w, b} f(w^k, b^k), \begin{pmatrix} w - w^k \\ b - b^k \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle + \frac{L}{2} \| \begin{pmatrix} w - w^k \\ b - b^k \end{pmatrix} \|_2^2 + g(w)$$

$$\nabla_{w, b} f(w^k, b^k) = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_w f(w^k, b^k) \\ \nabla_b f(w^k, b^k) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$$

à minimiser pour obtenir w^{k+1}, b^{k+1} .

$$\begin{pmatrix} w \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_d \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \quad \left\| \begin{pmatrix} w \\ b \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2^2 = \sum_{j=1}^d w_j^2 + b^2 = \|w\|_2^2 + b^2.$$

$$\star = \left\langle \nabla_w f(w^k, b^k), w - w^k \right\rangle + \nabla_b f(w^k, b^k) (b - b^k) + \frac{L}{2} \|w - w^k\|_2^2 + \frac{L}{2} (b - b^k)^2 + g(w).$$

$$w^{k+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_w \left\langle \nabla_w f(w^k, b^k), w - w^k \right\rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|w - w^k\|_2^2 + g(w)$$

$$b^{k+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_b \nabla_b f(w^k, b^k) (b - b^k) + \frac{L}{2} (b - b^k)^2$$

Conclusion: l'update w^{k+1} est donné par la descente de gradient proximale
l'update b^{k+1} est donné par la descente de gradient.