

B-Further remarks on Wiener integrals with respect to a BES(3) process 1)

M. Yor / Métivier, near Beaugon January 13<sup>th</sup>, 2005.

$(R_t, t \geq 0)$  denotes a BES(3) process starting from 0, and  $R_t = R_t - E(R_t) \equiv R_t - \sqrt{t}E(R_1)$ .

We have established that: there exists a universal constant  $C_{\#}$  such that:

$$(1) \quad E \left[ \left( \int_0^\infty f(s) dR_s \right)^2 \right] \leq C \int_0^\infty f(s) ds,$$

for  $f$  with compact support on  $(0, \infty)$ ,  $f$  bounded, which allows to extend the definition of  $\int_0^\infty f(s) dR_s$  to any  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, ds)$ .

A main ingredient used in our previous proof of (1) is Hardy's  $L^2$  inequality; we shall now see how Hardy's  $L^2$  inequality allows to derive ~~the~~ other analogues of (1); precisely:

Proposition: The inequality (1) also holds when  $R$  is replaced by:

a)  $S_t = \int_0^t B_s$ , for  $B$  a 1-dim BM.

b)  $L_t$ : the local time of BM.

c)  $(R_t, t \geq 0)$ : Reflecting BM.

d)  $A_t = \int_0^t ds \left( \frac{1}{R_s} \right)$

e)  $(r(t), t \leq 1)$ : a standard BES(3) bridge, or standard reflecting BM bridge.

f)  $(L_t, t \leq 1)$  the local time of reflecting Brownian bridge, or the Brownian meander.

Proof of the Proposition:

a) We use Plancherel's representation of BES(3) as:

$$R_t = 2s_t - B_t$$

$$2s_t = R_t + B_t$$

As that,  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(s) 2(s) ds = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(s) dR_s + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(s) dB_s$

and the result follows immediately.

b) We can use:  $(R_t, t \geq 0) \stackrel{(law)}{=} (L_t, t \geq 0)$ .

c) We use another representation of BES(3), equivalent to the one recalled in a), thanks to Levy's equivalence

$$R_t = \frac{1}{2} L_t + |B_t|$$

Hence, we have:

$$|R_t| = \frac{1}{2} R_t - L_t$$

d) We need to consider:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(s) \frac{1}{2} R_s ds$$

We write simply:  $\frac{1}{2} R_s = \int_{-\infty}^s dR_u$ , and the previous integral becomes:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dR_u \int_{-\infty}^u f(s) ds$$

For simplicity, we may fix  $t=1$ , then the transformation:

$$f \in L^2[0,1] \rightarrow \int_0^1 ds \frac{f(s)}{\sqrt{s}} = \tilde{H}f(\cdot)$$

is the dual of Hardy's transform:  $f \rightarrow Hf(\cdot) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^{\infty} dy f(y)$   
 Now, the result follows again from  $\|\tilde{H}Hf\|_2 = 2\|Hf\|_2$ .

3)

e) To obtain the market for  $r(t), t \leq 1$  a standard BES(3) a bridge, I use the representation:

$$r(t) = (1-t) R(t/1-t)$$

where  $R$  denotes a standard BES(3).

Then, we have:

$$\int_0^1 f(t) d((1-t) R(t/1-t)) = - \int_0^1 f(t) R(t/1-t) dt$$

$$+ \int_0^1 f(t) d(R(t/1-t))$$

$$\text{where: } F(t) = f(t)(1-t) - \int_0^t du f(u)$$

$$= \int_0^1 F(t) dR(t/1-t)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty F\left(\frac{t}{t+1}\right) dR_t$$

whose  $L^2$  norm (squared) is smaller than a multiple of:

$$\int_0^\infty F^2\left(\frac{t}{t+1}\right) dt = \int_0^1 F^2(t) \frac{dt}{(1-t)^2}$$

$$\equiv \int_0^1 dt \left\{ f(t) - \frac{1}{(1-t)} \int_0^t du f(u) \right\}^2$$

$$\leq 2 \int_0^1 dt (f^2(t) + (Hf)^2(t))$$

$$\leq 2 \int_0^1 dt (f^2(t) + 8 \int_0^1 dt f^2(t))$$

$$\equiv 10 \int_0^1 dt (f^2(t))$$

The next for  $r(t), t \leq 1$  now follows, the next for the standard reflecting bridge now follows from that for the reflecting Brownian motion, exactly in the same way.

4)

f) We use the following representation of  $(\mu(t), t \leq 1)$  as a standard Brownian bridge:

$$\mu(t) = \beta_t - \int_0^t ds \frac{f(s)}{(1-s)}, \quad t \leq 1,$$

where  $(\beta_t, t \leq 1)$  denotes a standard Brownian motion.

Then, we have, from Tanaka's formula:  $|\mu(t)| = \beta_t - \int_0^t ds \frac{|\mu(s)|}{(1-s)} + \ell_t$ .

Hence:

$$\int_0^1 f(t) d|\mu(t)| = \int_0^1 f(t) d\beta_t - \int_0^1 ds f(s) \frac{|\mu(s)|}{(1-s)} + \int_0^1 f(s) d\ell_s,$$

and the next we are seeking follows, because we know if holds for  $|\mu|, \beta, \int_0^t ds \frac{|\mu(s)|}{(1-s)}$  (once proved).

g) Finally, the result for the Brownian motion may be obtained from the known representation:  $m(t) = |\mu(t)| + \ell_t, t \leq 1$ .

(Itô type)

I shall continue thinking of other aspects -

- FIN DU MESSAGE -

C- Looking for some adequate terminology.

1) January 14<sup>th</sup> / (5 pages)

Consider a process  $(R_t, t \geq 0)$ , with second moments, we say that it is an  $L^2$ -integrator, which is an abbreviation for: once centered  $L^2$ -integrator if there exists a universal constant  $C$

such that, denoting  $\hat{R}_t = R_t - E(R_t)$ ,

there is the a priori inequality:

$$(1)_a \quad E \left[ \int_0^t \hat{R}_s^2 ds \right] \leq C \int_0^t f(s) ds$$

where  $f$  is a deterministic, simple function, say, so that the integrals  $\int_0^t f(s) ds$  is well defined.

We have established recently that a number of "fundamental" stochastic processes are  $L^2$ -integrators, the process are also semimartingales that appear on ~~the~~ might consider more general integrators, i.e.:

b)  $f(s, R_s)$ , where  $f$  is diffusion,  $R_t \times R_t$ , and takes values in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

c) more generally,  $\phi(s, R)$ , where  $\phi$  is a predictable process (with respect to the natural filtration  $\mathcal{F}_t$ ). Thus, we look for semimartingales which are:

$$(1)_b \quad \text{Var} \left( \int_0^t f(s, R_s) dR_s \right) \leq C E \left[ \int_0^t \phi^2(s, R_s) ds \right]$$

$$(1)_c \quad \text{Var} \left( \int_0^t \phi(s, R) dR_s \right) \leq C E \left[ \int_0^t \phi^2(s, R) ds \right]$$

again starting from bounded process  $\{f(s, R_s)\}, \{\phi(s, R)\}$

Let us consider whether it is a o.c.  $L^2_r$ -integrator. In order to develop such a discussion, we write the canonical decomposition of  $(b(t), t \leq 1)$  as a semimartingale, i.e. there exist a brownian motion  $\beta$  and we integrate a bounded predictable process:

$$(3) \quad b(t) = \beta(t) - \int_0^t \frac{d\phi(s)}{b(s)} \quad \text{and } \phi(s) = \int_0^s \frac{d\beta(s)}{b(s)}$$

and, clearly,  $(b(t), t \leq 1)$  is a (o.c.)  $L^2_d$ -integrator.

Hence:

$$E\left[\left(\int_0^1 f(s) db(s)\right)^2\right] = \int_0^1 (f(s) - \bar{f})^2 ds = \int_0^1 f^2(s) ds - (\bar{f})^2$$

where  $\bar{f} = \int_0^1 f(s) ds$ .

We note that, for any  $f \in L^2([0,1])$ , Here is the identity in law:

$$\int_0^1 f(s) db(s) - \left(\int_0^1 f(s) ds\right) B_1 \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \int_0^1 (f(s) - \bar{f}) dB_1$$

As a test case, let us consider:  $(b(t), t \leq 1) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} (B_t - tB_1, t \leq 1)$

Here,  $d, \text{map}: h, \text{map}: h$ ,  $\text{map}: h$ ,  $\text{map}: h$ ;  $\text{map}: h$ ;  $\text{map}: h$  and for:  $\text{map}: h$ .

- (2)
- $\frac{\text{o.c. } L^2_d\text{-integrator}}{\text{o.c. } L^2_r\text{-integrator}}$
  - $\frac{\text{o.c. } L^2_f\text{-integrator}}{\text{o.c. } L^2_r\text{-integrator}}$
  - if  $R$  satisfies  $(1)_a$
  - if  $R$  satisfies  $(1)_b$
  - if  $R$  satisfies  $(1)_c$

To be more precise, we now use the terminology:

The 1<sup>st</sup> order linear equation is solved as: (8)  $f(u) = \frac{1}{(1-u)} \int_u^0 ds \phi(s)$

(7)  $f(u) = \int_u^0 ds \frac{\phi(s)}{(1-s)} + \int_u^0 ds \frac{f(s)}{(1-s)}$

as given. We then consider the identity (6) as an equation with the given data  $\Phi(u)$ , and unknown function  $\Phi(u)$ .  
 Hint:  $f(u) = \Phi(u) - \Phi(u)$ , then:

$$\Phi(u, b) = \frac{d}{du} \Phi(u, b) - \int_u^0 ds \frac{\phi(s)}{(1-s)}$$

(6)  $\int_u^0 ds \frac{\phi(s)}{(1-s)}$

We would now like to use (5) in order to discuss some  $L^p$ -integrability property of  $b$ . At we take  $t=1$  in (5), and consider the

(5) 
$$\int_1^0 db(u) \left\{ \Phi(u, b) \mathbb{1}_{(u \leq t)} - \int_{u \wedge t}^0 ds \frac{\phi(s, b)}{(1-s)} \right\} = \int_t^0 \Phi(s, b) ds$$

so that bringing this expression on the LHS of (4), we obtain:

$$= \int_1^0 db(u) \int_{u \wedge t}^0 ds \frac{\phi(s, b)}{(1-s)}$$

$$= \int_t^0 ds \frac{\phi(s, b)}{(1-s)} \int_s^0 db(u)$$

Since  $b(1)=0$ , we may write:  $-\int_t^0 ds \frac{\phi(s, b)}{(1-s)}$

(4) 
$$\int_t^0 \Phi(s, b) db(s) = \int_t^0 \Phi(s, b) ds - \int_t^0 ds \frac{\phi(s, b)}{(1-s)}$$

Thus, we obtain:

As that: (9)  $\Phi(u) = \varphi(u) + \frac{1}{1-u} \int_u^1 \varphi(s) ds$  (4)

We now plug this result into (5) to obtain:  
 (10)  $\int_0^1 \alpha \beta(u) \varphi(u) = \int_0^1 \beta(u) \left( \varphi(u) + \frac{1}{1-u} \int_u^1 \varphi(s) ds \right)$

Before going any further we note from (6) that  $\varphi$  is not any  $\varphi$  predictable process, as it is adapted.

(11)  $\int_0^1 \alpha \varphi(s) ds = 0$   
 Thus,  $\varphi(u) + \frac{1}{1-u} \int_u^1 \varphi(s) ds - \frac{1}{1-u} \int_0^1 \varphi(s) ds = 0$

Denote:  $K_0 \varphi(u) = \frac{1}{1-u} \int_0^u \varphi(s) ds$   
 Then, we note:  $K_0 \varphi(1-u) = \frac{1}{u} \int_u^1 \varphi(s) ds$  This is the Hardy transform of  $\varphi(1-\cdot)$ .

and  $K_1 \varphi(u) = \varphi(u) + K_0 \varphi(u)$   
 Thus, we have:  $\int_0^1 \alpha (K_1 \varphi(u))^2 \leq 2 \int_0^1 \alpha (\varphi(u) + (K_0 \varphi(u)))^2$

(12)  $\leq 10 \int_0^1 \alpha \varphi(u)$   
 Thus, we may go back to (8) and

(13)  $\int_0^1 \alpha \beta(u) \varphi(u) = \int_0^1 \alpha \beta(u) (K_1 \varphi)(u)$   
 For every predictable process  $\varphi$  such that:

$E \left[ \int_0^1 \alpha \varphi(u) du \right] < \infty$ , and  $\int_0^1 \alpha \varphi(u) = 0$ .  
 Moreover from (12), we deduce:  $E \left[ \left( \int_0^1 \alpha \beta(u) \varphi(u) \right)^2 \right] \leq 10 E \left[ \int_0^1 \alpha \varphi(u) \right]$



Thus, we have obtained the following

5)

Theorem: If  $\psi$  denotes any predictable process for the martingale

filtration of  $b$  such that:

$$E\left[\int_0^1 du \psi^2(u)\right] < \infty \text{ and } \int_0^1 du \psi(u) = 0,$$

Assume:

Then  $\int_0^1 db(u) \psi(u)$  is well defined, and centered, and if

$$E\left[\left(\int_0^1 db(u) \psi(u)\right)^2\right] \leq 10 E\left[\int_0^1 du \psi^2(u)\right]$$

Remark: In the case when  $\int_0^1 \psi(s) ds \neq 0$ , it may be of some interest to find / present / a formula which "replaces" the above formula:

$$(10) \quad \int_0^1 \psi(s) db(s) = \int_0^1 d\beta_s(\psi(s)) + \int_0^1 dR_s \psi(s)$$

where  $\int_0^1 dR_s \psi(s) = 0$

In fact, starting from:

$$b(t) = \beta(t) - \int_0^t db(s)$$

there is the well-known representation of  $b$  in terms of  $\beta$ :

$$(3) \quad b(t) = (1-t) \int_0^t d\beta_s$$

from which we may now deduce in full generality:

$$(11) \quad \int_0^1 \psi(s) db(s) = - \int_0^1 \psi(s) d\beta_s + \int_0^1 d\beta_s \psi(s)$$

In the case when  $\int_0^1 \psi(s) ds = 0$ , we may write, at least formally, the double integral on the RHS of (11) as:

$$\int_0^1 d\beta_u \left( \int_u^1 \psi(s) ds \right) = \int_0^1 \frac{d\beta_u}{(1-u)} \left( \int_u^1 \psi(s) ds \right)$$

the formally recovering (10) from (11).  $\square$