

Aug. 19th, 1993

More transforms of a spider-martingale.

1. On the joint law of times spent in the rays by the spider up to T_* .

The origin of the developments presented below is my desire to extend formula (7)^k in: "Some formulae for the Brownian spider, I", Aug. 14th, which is:

$$(7)^k \quad E \left[\exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^2}{2} T_* \right) \right] = \frac{\sum_i (1/\sinh(\lambda a_i))}{\sum_i \coth(\lambda a_i)}$$

to obtain the joint Laplace transform of the

$$T_*^{(i)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^{T_*} ds \mathbf{1}_{(B_s \in I_i)} \quad , \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Here is this extension:

$$(7')^k \quad E \left[\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_i \lambda_i^2 T_*^{(i)} \right) \right] = \frac{\sum_i (\lambda_i / \sinh(\lambda_i a_i))}{\sum_i \lambda_i \coth(\lambda_i a_i)}$$

which may be refined into:

$$(7'')^k_i \quad E \left[\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_j \lambda_j^2 T_*^{(j)} \right) \mathbf{1}_{(B_{T_*} = x_i)} \right] = \frac{\lambda_i / \sinh(\lambda_i a_i)}{\sum_j \lambda_j \coth(\lambda_j a_j)}$$

Note: For simplicity, I will write $T_*^{(\lambda)}$ for $(\sum_j \lambda_j^2 T_*^{(j)})$;

I will also use the notation: $T_t^{(j)} = \int_0^t ds \mathbf{1}_{(B_s^{(j)} > 0)} \equiv \int_0^t ds \mathbf{1}_{(B_s \in I_j)}$

The proof relies on the two following facts:

a) The process $S_t = (S_t^{(1)}, S_t^{(2)}, \dots, S_t^{(k)})$ defined by:

$$S_t^{(i)} = \prod_{j \neq i} \left(\cosh(\lambda_j B_t^{(j)}) \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_j^2}{2} T_t^{(j)}\right) \right) \left(\frac{\sinh(\lambda_i B_t^{(i)})}{\lambda_i} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_i^2}{2} T_t^{(i)}\right) \right)$$

is a spider-martingale;

b) the one-dimensional process:

$$C_t = \prod_{j=1}^k \cosh(\lambda_j B_t^{(j)}) \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_j^2}{2} T_t^{(j)}\right)$$

is an ordinary martingale.

c) Below, we shall see that a) and b) are particular cases of some more general construction of spider-martingales, and/or martingales from a given spider-martingale.

So, let us assume a) and b) for the moment, and prove $(7')^k$ right away. From the fundamental property of a spider-martingale, we know that:

$$E\left[S_{T_*}^{(i)}\right] = \sigma, \quad \text{a constant which does not depend on } i.$$

This identity may be written as:

$$(1) \quad \left(\frac{\sinh(\lambda_i a_i)}{\lambda_i} \right) E\left[\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} T_*^{(\lambda)}\right) 1_{(B_{T_*} = x_i)}\right] = \sigma$$

Now, from b), we get: $E[C_{T_*}] = 1$, that is:

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \cosh(\lambda_i a_i) E\left[\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} T_*^{(\lambda)}\right) 1_{(B_{T_*} = x_i)}\right] = 1.$$

We multiply and divide the i^{th} expectation which appears in (2) by: $\frac{\sinh(\lambda_i a_i)}{\lambda_i}$

Thus, we obtain, by using (1) and (2) jointly:

$$[(4) \Rightarrow] \quad (3) \quad \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_i \coth(\lambda_i a_i)) \right\} \sigma = 1,$$

so that we have now obtained the value of σ and, going back to (1), we obtain, from (3), the formula $(7')_i^k$.

Comments:

1. Of course, the formula $(7')_i^k$ may be decomposed at time q_{T^*} ;
2. There is certainly a proof by excursion theory....
3. In the end, we should be able to describe the joint law of

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} A_t^{(1)} = \sup_{s \leq t} B_s^{(1)} ; \quad A_t^{(2)} = \sup_{s \leq t} B_s^{(2)} ; \quad \dots ; \quad A_t^{(k)} = \sup_{s \leq t} B_s^{(k)} ; \\ T_t^{(1)} = \int_0^t ds 1_{(B_s^{(1)} > 0)} ; \quad T_t^{(2)} = \int_0^t ds 1_{(B_s^{(2)} > 0)} ; \quad \dots ; \quad T_t^{(k)} = \int_0^t ds 1_{(B_s^{(k)} > 0)}. \end{array} \right.$$

[To be developed] .

2. Constructing martingales and spider-martingales from a given spider-martingale.

(2.1) Creation of martingales from a spider-martingale.

Let $M_t = (M_t^{(1)}, \dots, M_t^{(k)})$ be a k -spider martingale.

I would like to find some reasonable conditions which ensure that, if:

$$f: (\mathbb{R}_+)^k \times (\mathbb{R}_+)^k \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$f: ((x_1, \dots, x_k); (t_1, \dots, t_k)) \longrightarrow f((x_1, \dots, x_k); (t_1, \dots, t_k))$$

then:

$$F_t = f((M_t^{(1)}, \dots, M_t^{(k)}); \langle M^{(1)} \rangle_t, \dots, \langle M^{(k)} \rangle_t)$$

is an ordinary martingale.

[Note: For clarity, I will write $f([x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k]; [t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k])$ to separate better space and time.]

From Itô's formula, we get:

$$(4) \quad dF_t = \sum_i f'_{x_i} dM_t^{(i)} + \sum_i \left(f'_{t_i} + \frac{1}{2} f''_{x_i^2} \right) d\langle M^{(i)} \rangle_t$$

(there are no rectangular brackets, since $d\langle M^{(i)}, M^{(j)} \rangle_t = 0$, for $i \neq j$)

$$(4') \quad = d(\text{mart.}) + (dA_t) \sum_i f'_{x_i} ([0, 0, \dots, 0]; [\langle M^{(j)} \rangle_t; j \leq k])$$

$$+ \sum_i d\langle M^{(i)} \rangle_t \left(f'_{t_i} + \frac{1}{2} f''_{x_i^2} \right) ([0, 0, \dots, M_t^{(i)}, 0, \dots, 0]; [\langle M^{(j)} \rangle_t; j \leq k])$$

Hence, we have

Proposition 1: $F_t = f([M_t^{(1)}, \dots, M_t^{(k)}]; [\langle M^{(j)} \rangle_t; j \leq k])$

is a martingale as soon as the two following conditions are satisfied:

$$(5) \quad \sum_i f'_{x_i}([0, 0, \dots, 0]; [t_1, -, t_k]) = 0$$

$$(6) \quad \text{for every } i, \quad \left(f'_{t_i} + \frac{1}{2} f''_{x_i^2} \right) ([0, 0, -, x_i, 0, -0]; [t_1, -, t_k]) = 0$$

In particular, it is sufficient that:

for every i , and for every $(t_1, -, t_{i-1}, \dots, t_{i+1}, -, t_k)$, the function of two variables (x_i, t_i) :

$$(7) \quad \varphi_i^{(t_1, -, t_{i-1}, \dots, t_{i+1}, -, t_k)}(x_i, t_i) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f([0, -, 0, x_i, 0, -0]; [t_1, -, t_k])$$

is a space-time harmonic function such that: $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \varphi_i^{(-, \dots, -)}(0, t_i) = 0$.

Example 1: Take $f([x_1, -, x_k]; [t_1, -, t_k]) = \prod_{i=1}^k g_i(x_i, t_i)$,

where the g_i 's are space-time harmonic functions.

Then, $(F_t, t \geq 0)$ is a martingale iff:

$$(8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\prod_{j \neq i} g_j(0, t_j) \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} g_i \right) (0, t_i) = 0$$

In particular, it is a martingale as soon as:

$$(9) \quad \text{for every } i, \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} g_i \right) (0, t_i) = 0.$$

(2.2) Creation of spider-martingales from a given spider-martingale.

Here, I will start with k functions $f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(k)}$ as in (2.1), but I assume, furthermore, that:

these functions take values in \mathbb{R}_+ , and:

$$(10) \quad f^{(i)}([x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k]; [t_1, \dots, t_k]) = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad x_i = 0.$$

Then, I would like to find some reasonable conditions on:

$$\left\{ \mathbb{F}_t = (F_t^{(1)}, \dots, F_t^{(k)}), t \geq 0 \right\} \text{ to be a spider-martingale.}$$

Inspecting Ito's formula (4') for $dF_t^{(j)}$, for all j 's simultaneously, we obtain the following:

Proposition 2: $(F_t, t \geq 0)$ is a spider-martingale as soon as the two following conditions are satisfied:

$$(11) \quad \text{the quantity } \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f^{(j)}([0, 0, \dots, 0]; [t_1, \dots, t_k])$$

does not depend on j ;

$$(12) \quad \text{for every } j, \text{ and every } i, 0 \equiv \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right) f^{(j)}([0, 0, \dots, x_i, 0, \dots, 0]; [t_1, \dots, t_k])$$

Example 2: Take:

$$f^{(j)}([x_1, \dots, x_k]; [t_1, \dots, t_k]) = \prod_{i=1}^k g_i^{(j)}(x_i, t_i).$$

where the $g_i^{(j)}$'s are space-time harmonic functions.

[To be developed].

3. [To be developed]

Degrees of liberty for the existence of a k -spider martingale,
when $p (< k)$ of its legs are given.

4. [To be developed]

Hermite polynomials, symmetric functions of k variables,
and spider-martingales.

We can apply the results in paragraph 2 to get more spider-martingales associated with a given one, using, instead of (cash) and (risk), the Hermite polynomials of (resp.) even and odd orders.

5. [To be developed]

Spider-martingales and planar martingales.

There seem to be certain similarities between spider-martingales and conformal martingales (i.e: time changes of planar BM) which I would like to explore.